

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/807,895	03/24/2004	Eduardo Ramirez de Arellano	LOSAS-0600 5350	
7:	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Patent Law Offices of			HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE	
Heath W. Hogh 256 Eleanor Ro			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
San Juan, PR 00918			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/807,895	RAMIREZ DE ARELLANO, EDUARDO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	44.)
	Yvonne M. Horton	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	\$S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Sec</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.		erits is
'	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-8 and 10-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·	,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the conference of the confer	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)		•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/807,895

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,6-8 and 10-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4 of U. S. Patent No. 6,746,717 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a method of applying concrete including the steps of mixing concrete mortar having particles approximately 1mm in diameter and water to form a resulting composition that hardens; applying the composition to an exterior of a building; allowing the composition to harden in time to prevent reformation; and scraping a rough trowel against the resulting composition to remove at least a portion of the 1mm particles. '717 claims the basic method except for including the step of forming divots. Although '717 is silent in this regard, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/807,895

Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

skill in the art at the time the invention was made that once the 1mm particles are removed, a small recess, divot, or imprint would be left where the particle sat prior to removal. Regarding claims 6,10 and 11, it too would have been obvious that the composition is applied via a trowel. In reference to claims 7, spraying is also another obvious and well known method for applying a substance to a surface. Regarding claims 12 and 13, it is very well known in the ar for a concrete material to contain an accelerant and a plasiticizer.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,895

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvonne M. Horton

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 3635

September 30, 2004